

National Judicial Academy

P-1187: Seminar for Principal District and Sessions Judges on Constitutional and Administrative Law
08th – 10th November, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Shruti Jane Eusebius, Research Fellow
No. of Participants : 39
No. of forms received : 39

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	92.31	7.69	-	25. Excellent.
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	84.62	15.38	-	25. Excellent.
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	89.74	10.26	-	25. Excellent.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	87.18	12.82	-	25. Excellent.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	84.62	15.38	-	25. Excellent. 38. Yes.
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	74.36	25.64	-	25. Wonderful. 38. Interaction and materials supplied.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	92.11	7.89	-	25. Wonderful.
c. Up to date	94.59	5.41	-	25. Wonderful.

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	97.37	2.63	-	25. Wonderful.
e. Related to international legal norms	57.89	39.47	2.64	25. Wonderful.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	94.59	5.41	-	25. Excellent.
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	91.89	8.11	-	25. Excellent.
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	71.43	28.57	-	25. Excellent. 38. Yes.
(iii) Group discussion cleared many doubts	81.25	18.75	-	25. Excellent. 38. Yes, this is one of the best part of the programme.
(iv) Case studies were relevant	83.78	16.22	-	25. Excellent. 38. Yes
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	97.22	2.78	95.65	4.35
2	97.22	2.78	95.45	4.55
3	97.06	2.94	95.00	5.00
4	94.12	5.88	95.00	5.00
5	94.12	5.88	95.00	5.00
6	91.18	8.82	95.00	5.00
7	94.12	5.88	90.00	10.00
8	91.18	8.82	90.00	10.00

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	80.00	20.00	-	25. Ideal for Sr. PDJS. 38. Useful.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	91.43	8.57	-	25. Ideal for Sr. PDJS. 38. Yes the content was updated.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	88.57	11.43	-	25. Ideal for Sr. PDJS. 38. Yes organized and easy to follow.

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. How to need the completed provisionary. How to understand. How to implement while interpreting trainer in relevant copy.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Useful for the judiciary ie. District judges updated all constitutional laws to enable courts to have more knowledge of the law of the land ie. Supreme court rulings and the three rise propounded by Supreme Court of India on law.</p> <p>5. Separation of Powers & Contours of Judicial Review. Indian constitutions. Rule of law and justice.</p> <p>6. Approach to constitutional law interprets. Applying preceding. Applying of law.</p> <p>7. It gave a new vision, which will be helpful in our judicial functioning.</p> <p>8. 1. The programme was refreshing. 2. I learnt a lot to uphold the rule of law and under justice. 3. I will be also to that occurs to justices is not decide to anybody for any reason.</p> <p>9. 1. Clarity on subjects comparing enters. 2. Most useful in work. 3. Understood the power of various using.</p> <p>10. 1. Function of constitutional court. 2. Implementation of constitutional provision in day to day working in District Courts. 3. Effective disposal of cases in District Courts.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. 1. All overview of significant articles of constitution. 2. Discussion all the scope of jurisdiction of High Court. Guiding principles for exercise of appellate jurisdiction.</p> <p>13. Overview of the constitution. Referencing on the constitutional law and Administrative law.</p>

	<p>14. Useful in my day to day work. 2. The program enhanced my knowledge and over all I got benefited. 3. The program removed by doubts a various concepts of the constitutional and Administrative law.</p> <p>15. Achieved clarity in the constitutional provision requires to learn more by way of comparative examination of CPC and CrPC the development of constitution.</p> <p>16. 1. Interpretation of doctrine of basic structure of constitution. 2. Delegated legislation. 3. Separation of power.</p> <p>17. Basic knowledge on constitution of India and Administrative law.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Vision of the constitution. 2. Recent judicial development 3. Bounding the judicial review.</p> <p>20. 1. Enlightened about the rule be law and statutory power. 2. Hurdles face in criminal court in district judiciary solution.</p> <p>21. 1. Better understanding of the constitution. 2. It's applicably in the day to day functioning of the jurisdiction. 3. Legislation, subordinate legislation sub legislator etc. a new insight.</p> <p>22. It was over all session of constitution provisions which were not dealt with directly during court functioning. There for all topics were important and very relevant to the undersigned.</p> <p>23. 1. Familiarize with laws which are not dealt regularly by the district court. 2. Introduced to the vastness of jurisdiction of the higher judiciary coupled with the complexities involved. 3. Gave the glimpse of the challenges faced by the higher judiciary and the manner in which they are met.</p> <p>24. Separation of power. Courtroom of judge's review. Rule of law and justice- Role of judges.</p> <p>25. Well acquainted with objection of constitution particularly writ jurisdiction precedents judicial review wonderful and excellent programmes.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. The program provided knowledge which are many useful. Discussions in session related to constitutional vision of justices were excellent. Audio visual side were useful.</p> <p>28. 1. That how constitutional approach is important. 2. About re-court judicial developments. 3. Scope and parameters of judicial review.</p> <p>29. 1. Vision of the constitution. 2. Doctrine of basic structure. 3. Judicial review.</p> <p>30. Up-to-date. Relevant. Comprehensive.</p> <p>31. 1. Refreshment on Constitutional and Administrative law. 2. Enlightened with different provision of other laws apart from constitutional law and their inherent connation with constitution. 3. Application and different provision and constitution law in subordinate judiciary.</p> <p>32. Would be helpful while dealing with cases especially when we are elevated. Updation of the provisions of constitution. New insight were had reporting the different aspects of the constitution.</p>
--	---

	<p>33. Separation of powers & contours of judicial review.</p> <p>34. 1. Importance of precedent; 2. Role of judge; 3. Article 32, 226, 227 of the constitution of India.</p> <p>35. 1. Defining contours of judicial role; 2. Precedent and its role in ensuring legitimate expectations. 3. Excessive & impermissible delegation of legislative power- Judicial review of delegated legislation.</p> <p>36. 1. Enlightened on the rule of law by Prof. (Dr.) V. Vijayakumar; Cleared doubts on doctrine of basic structure through Hon'ble Mr. Justice Navin Sinha, Mr. R. Venkataramani and Hon'ble Ms. Justice Ruma Pal (In Chair); 3. Educated on delegated legislation by Mr. Samaraditya Pal & Hon'ble Justice Raghuram. (Director NJA).</p> <p>37. Constitutional frame work; Administrative law; Delegated laws.</p> <p>38. 1. Application of the rule of law and the procedural set by the higher court in day to days court work; 2. Practical way of work for a judge in applying the precedent; 3. The basic structure of the constitution as narrated by the resource person.</p> <p>39. None.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Every session is very useful. The design or the sessions is excellent. Knowledge is progressive elimination or ignorable. Every participant is benefitted in that work. But I full time is that the contravention or the Hon'ble Director NJA is invaluable. I can happily say it is an excellent seminar.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. All most all programmes taken without loss any minute of time and engaged all the District Judges in the very discussion interactions from the District Judges of the entire country participated. Reference with constitutional law, Administrative law, Executive law, Legislation judiciary differentiations, and limits of each authorities discussed very beautifully. With the interaction with judges by the chairpersons and Hon'ble Director and Supreme Court Judges.</p> <p>5. Rule of law and justice.</p> <p>6. All part.</p> <p>7. Whole programme, I express my gratitude to Hon'ble speakers and NJA for organizing this seminar.</p> <p>8. Everything.</p> <p>9. All.</p> <p>10. <i>Session-2 Rule of Law and Justice: Role of Judges Proposed Scope of Discussion-Rule of law and certainty of outcomes-Facets of justice –equality, equity, non-discrimination-Social context of justice -inherent inequalities in the adversarial system-Justice for disadvantaged groups.</i> Discussion of this session is useful for day to day working of District Judiciary.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. All sections had their constitutional value and were beneficial.</p> <p>13. Every session is useful.</p>

	<p>14. All.</p> <p>15. All the sessions were relevant. I found the sessions of delegated legislation part useful.</p> <p>16. Judicial activation and judicial restriction. Bound areas for judicial review. Separation of power and judicial branch.</p> <p>17. All were useful as it increase my knowledge on the constitution of India basically.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. All program was useful.</p> <p>20. Interaction with Resource Persons about the practical difficulties faced by the district judges while dispensing justices.</p> <p>21. All session were useful.</p> <p>22. It was over all session of constitution provisions which were not dealt with directly during court functioning. There for all topics were important and very relevant to the undersigned.</p> <p>23. The entire program, the subject, the eminent resource persons, the discussions. Interaction were useful.</p> <p>24. The programme as a useful.</p> <p>25. Act. 226, 227 writ jurisdiction. Role of law and Administrative law.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. All session the many useful the administration of justice.</p> <p>28. <i>Session-4 The Indian Constitution: An Overview Proposed Scope of Discussion-Structural schemata-Preamble as vision of the Constitution-Fundamental rights and directive principles of state policy as mandates to the state-Recent judicial developments. Session-5 The Indian Constitution : An Overview Proposed Scope of Discussion-Doctrine of Basic Structure –Development of jurisprudence-How far can the Constitution be amended? Legislative authority to amend the Constitution and its limits.-Contribution of the doctrine to stability and longevity of the Constitution. Session-6 Doctrine of Stare Decisis Proposed Scope of Discussion:- Precedents an element of judicial consistency: its role in ensuring legitimate expectations-Ratio Decided and Obiter Dicta–Identification and Precedential Value-Dealing with precedential conflict.</i> Session were most useful because of very interactive.</p> <p>29. <i>Session-1 Separation of Powers & Contours of Judicial Review Proposed Scope of Discussion-Constitutional Scheme and Theory of Separation of Powers -Power of courts to review legislative and executive action-Contours of Judicial Review. Session-6 Doctrine of Stare Decisis Proposed Scope of Discussion:- Precedents an element of judicial consistency: its role in ensuring legitimate expectations-Ratio Decided and Obiter Dicta–Identification and Precedential Value-Dealing with precedential conflict.</i></p> <p>30. Lectures by Prof. (Dr.) V. Vijayakumar.</p> <p>31. Power point present by Prof. (Dr.) V. Vijayakumar and an over view lecture given by him on constitution and which took us book to us law classes days an constitutional law in law college.</p>
--	--

	<p>32. First day.</p> <p>33. Session-4 <i>The Indian Constitution: An Overview. Proposed Scope of Discussion-Structural schemata-Preamble as vision of the Constitution-Fundamental rights and directive principles of state policy as mandates to the state-Recent judicial developments.</i></p> <p>34. The Indian constitution: An overview.</p> <p>35. Defining contours of judicial role; Boundaries for judicial review; Article 141 as an element of judicial consistency; Precedent and its role in ensuring legitimate expectations; Delegated legislation, conditional legislation; Judicial review of delegated legislation-scope.</p> <p>36. Rule of law and justice: Role of judges – because it goes to the root of administration of justice and will be helpful in the day to day court work.</p> <p>37. All were useful.</p> <p>38. Session-2 <i>Rule of Law and Justice: Role of Judges Proposed Scope of Discussion-Rule of law and certainty of outcomes-Facets of justice –equality, equity, non-discrimination-Social context of justice -inherent inequalities in the adversarial system-Justice for disadvantaged groups; Session-4</i> <i>The Indian Constitution: An Overview. Proposed Scope of Discussion-Structural schemata-Preamble as vision of the Constitution-Fundamental rights and directive principles of state policy as mandates to the state-Recent judicial developments.- as I find the above mention; 2. Mentioned sessions fruitful for a judge in discharging their duties.</i></p> <p>39. All the programme are useful but the session 2 programme in found to the most useful as of now as it is relevant as all the providing by me the leant of district & session judge.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. Useful. Registrar has devoted much time for such programmes. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. No prove was learn useful. 7. Participant did not comment. 8. Everything was useful. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. None. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. None. 13. All session useful. 14. Participant did not comment. 15. Participant did not comment. 16. Participant did not comment. 17. None.

	<p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Nil.</p> <p>22. NA.</p> <p>23. None.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. All session were highly useful and managing full.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Nil.</p> <p>28. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>29. <i>Session-7 Introduction to Writ Jurisdiction Proposed Scope of Discussion-Writs under the Constitution of India –varieties and scope-Constitutional rationale for Writ Jurisdiction-Precedents on Writ Jurisdiction. Session-8 Delegated Legislation Proposed Scope of Discussion-Delegated Legislation, Conditional Legislation: Scope & Limits -Excessive & impermissible delegation of legislative power - Legislation by quasi-legislative authorities & concerns of excessive delegation beyond statutory limits -Judicial Review of Delegated Legislation –scope.</i></p> <p>30. NA.</p> <p>31. None.</p> <p>32. None.</p> <p>33. Not at all.</p> <p>34. None.</p> <p>35. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>36. None, because each programme is very relevant and helpful. I will be leaving the academy better educated, enlightened and informed.</p> <p>37. Nil.</p> <p>38. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Very good. Continue such programmes.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. May invites more Hon’ble judges of the Supreme Court and High Court as Resource Persons.</p>

9. Participant did not comment.
10. Participant did not comment.
11. Participant did not comment.
11. Participant did not comment.
12. None.
13. Participant did not comment.
14. Participant did not comment.
15. Participant did not comment.
16. Participant did not comment.
17. Nothing more.
18. Participant did not comment.
19. Participant did not comment.
20. Participant did not comment.
21. Satisfaction today also may be included in the lists of food.
22. For comfortable stay spouse should be permitted to stay by taking changes for the same.
23. None.
24. 1. On administration side check out of the accommodation time 12 midnight ie to be changed to the day with a morning of 2 to 4 hours our stay subject to train/ flight timings to some courts execution and for the sake of convenience of the participant judges. 2. Reading material may be get provided instead of photocopies. For more visibility and easy solves.
25. List on important case laws cases in separate booked on each topic.
26. Participant did not comment.
27. Programme should be organized every three months at least for 5 days.
28. Participant did not comment.
29. Participant did not comment.
30. Library requires up to date books. Library has to be expended.
31. None.
32. None
33. Nil.
34. By extending duration and number of sessions.
35. Participant did not comment.
36. Part of the study material provided to the participants was quite illegible and it would have been better if the material was provided to the participant in advance.
37. Satisfactory.
38. Participant did not comment.
39. Need more visual aids.